Attorney Docket No.: 57797.000002

#### REMARKS

The Office Action dated December 31, 2007, has been received and carefully considered.

Reconsideration of the outstanding rejections in the present application is respectfully requested based on the above amendments and following remarks.

### I. THE ALLOWANCE/ALLOWABILITY OF CLAIMS 1-20

Applicant notes with appreciation the indication on page 3 of the Office Action that claims 1-9 and 19 have been allowed. Applicant notes with equal appreciation the indication on page 3 of the Office Action that claims 10-18 and 20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph and 35 U.S.C. §101 set forth in the Office Action. Claims 10-18 and 20 have been amended in this manner, and thus should now be allowable. Acknowledgment of same is respectfully requested.

## II. THE ENABLEMENT REJECTION OF THE SPECIFICATION

On page 2 of the Office Action, the specification was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as allegedly not adequately describing the application creating module, the forwarding module and the receiving module. The Examiner further alleges that the modules appear to be software not executed by a computer. This rejection is hereby respectfully traversed.

Applicant respectfully submits that the specification does clearly convey the claimed subject matter to those skilled in the art at the time the application was filed. In particular, Applicant respectfully submits that the specification does disclose the modules as being executed by a computer. See, e.g., Figure 4, and Pages 22-27. Further, Applicant respectfully submits that the subject matter of the claim need not be described literally (i.e., using the same terms or in haec verba) in order for the disclosure to satisfy the description requirement.

Attorney Docket No.: 57797.000002

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the aforementioned rejection of the specification be withdrawn.

#### III. THE INDEFINITENESS REJECTION OF CLAIMS 10-18 AND 20

On page 2 of the Office Action, claims 10-18 and 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §

112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly
claim the invention. This rejection is hereby respectfully traversed.

Applicant has amended claim 10 and 20 to recited that the respective modules are "executed on a computer." Claims 11-18 depend from claim 10, and thus also incorporate this recitation.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the aforementioned indefiniteness rejection of claims 10-18 and 20 be withdrawn.

# IV. THE NON-STATUTORY SUBJECT MATTER REJECTION OF CLAIMS 10-18 AND 20

On page 3 of the Office Action, claims 10-18 and 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §

101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. This rejection is hereby respectfully

traversed

Applicant respectfully submits that the amendments to claim 10 and 20 overcome the rejection.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the aforementioned nonstatutory subject matter rejection of claims 10-18 and 20 be withdrawn.

## V. CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance, and an early indication of the same is courteously solicited. The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned by telephone at the below listed telephone number, in order to expedite resolution of any issues and to expedite passage of the present application to issue, if any comments, questions, or suggestions arise in connection with the present application.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 CFR § 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filling of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account No. 50-0206, and please credit any excess fees to the same deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

Bv:

Ozzie A. Farres Registration No. 43,606

Hunton & Williams LLP 1900 K Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20006-1109 Telephone: (202) 955-1500 Facsimile: (202) 778-2201

Date:

11